

TA EVALUATION PURPOSES AND METHODS

This table provides an overview of evaluation methods that can be used as part of the TA process. For more information about evaluation, see Preparation Phase: Developing an Evaluation Plan in the TA Reference Guide.

What to Evaluate	Why and How	Resources and Tools
Team/agency readiness ¹	 During the <i>Initial Implementation Phase</i>, a readiness assessment can help you: Identify barriers that affect a team's ability to engage in TA (e.g., leadership changes, staff turnover, technology difficulties) Determine the extent to which team members have a clear understanding of the TA process and clear expectations It is typically informally assessed through: Conversations or written exchanges Team discussions Observation 	Readiness Indicators for Child-Specific Technical Assistance
Fidelity of the TA process	The purpose of measuring fidelity of TA is to determine if your processes and strategies are consistent with best practices in technical assistance and implementation science. You may not be required to evaluate TA fidelity, but even if you aren't, it provides useful information during both the <i>Initial and Full</i>	Consultant's Report Checklist (OH) TA Evaluation Protocol (OH)

What to Evaluate	Why and How	Resources and Tools
	It is primarily assessed through self-evaluation and can be relatively informal. Potential methods include: Informal self-reflection (How can I do this better? Are there things I should be doing differently?) More formal self-reflection—at the end of a TA interaction, you might rate how effective you thought it was in achieving your objectives (e.g., ineffective, somewhat ineffective, somewhat effective, effective) and explain the reasons for your rating² Frequent review of your TA plan to track how well you are adhering to it (this method assumes that the plan is based on TA and implementation science best practices; for example, as described in the TA Reference Guide) Review of your TA summaries and notes Use of a standard checklist for all cases that outlines TA best practices (this is helpful if you plan to aggregate findings across cases for reporting purposes)	
Usefulness, relevance, and quality of the TA content	This data is typically gathered at the conclusion of a TA case (i.e., the <i>Full Implementation Phase</i>), but it can be helpful to assess the same or similar measures during the <i>Initial Implementation Phase</i> to ascertain team member perceptions of how everything is going. Evaluation methods include: • Surveys of team members (and other relevant stakeholders) regarding their	TA Receivers' Survey (NE) Loan Library Evaluation Form (NE)

What to Evaluate	Why and How	Resources and Tools
	 perceptions of usefulness, relevance, and quality, as well as other measures of satisfaction, if indicated Informal feedback from team members (including requests for specific types of assistance)² 	
Service	During the <i>Initial and Full Implementation Phases</i> , evaluate whether team	Classroom Observation
provider/family	members knowledge and skills have increased in areas identified as important in	Instrument for Educational
member change in	the needs assessment.	Environments Serving
knowledge, skills, beliefs, and attitudes ³	 This is most commonly assessed via: Pre and/or post tests associated with a training event Surveys of team members about their perceptions of their knowledge and skill change Completion of an assignment (e.g., as part of a module) Informal conversations or written exchanges Skill attainment may also be evaluated via observation of service providers/families in action (video or in person). 	Students with Deaf-Blindness
Fidelity of implementation by service providers/families ⁴	Measures of implementation fidelity are useful during the <i>Initial Implementation Phase</i> to assess whether team members are making progress with new practices. Later on they can be used to assess if <i>full implementation</i> has been achieved and guide decisions about whether TA will be needed beyond the current agreement. A recommended strategy in the Exploration Phase was to clearly define key	N/A

What to Evaluate	Why and How	Resources and Tools
	components of selected practices. These components can now be used as fidelity measures (e.g., in a checklist used for observation or in a survey).	
	 Potential methods of evaluation include: Surveys of service providers/families regarding their perceptions of whether they are implementing practices as defined Informal conversations or written exchanges Observation of service providers/families in action (video or in person) 	
Child change ⁴	Evaluation of child change should be aligned with desired goals and outcomes as outlined in a TA plan or agreement. This type of evaluation is useful during the <i>Initial and Full Implementation Phases</i> to track the child's progress in order to provide feedback to the team.	N/A
	 Potential methods of evaluation include: Observation of the child in action (video or in person) Use of assessment tools (e.g., Communication Matrix) Survey of service providers/families (questions about their perceptions of the child's progress) Informal discussion or written interactions with service providers/families 	

References

- 1. Scaccia, J. P., Cook, B. S., Lamont, A., Wandersman, A., Castellow, J., & Katz, J. (2015). A practical implementation science heuristic for organizational readiness: R = MC². *Journal of Community Psychology, 43*, 484-501. doi:10.1002/jcop.21698
- 2. ETR Associates. (2014). The effective delivery of technical assistance: A toolkit. Scotts Valley, CA: Author.
- 3. Le, L. T., Anthony, B. J., Bronheim, S. M., Holland, C. M., & Perry, D. F. (2014). A technical assistance model for guiding service and systems change. *The Journal of Behavioral Health Services & Research*. doi:10.1007/s11414-014-9439-2
- 4. National Implementation Research Network's Active Implementation Hub. (2013). *Module 4: Implementation stages*. Retrieved from http://implementation.fpg.unc.edu/module-4

National Center on Deaf-Blindness, 2017

nationaldb.org

The contents of this factsheet were developed under a grant from the U.S. Department of Education #H326T130013. However, those contents do not necessarily represent the policy of The Research Institute, nor the US Department of Education, and you should not assume endorsement by the Federal Government. Project Officer, Jo Ann McCann.





